

**In the High Court of Punjab and Haryana, at Chandigarh****Criminal Misc. No. M-15211 of 2026****Date of Decision: 07.04.2026**

Anita Rani

... Petitioner(s)

Versus

The State of Haryana

... Respondent(s)

CORAM: Hon'ble Mr. Justice Surya Partap Singh.

Present: Mr. Sahil Rathaur, Advocate
for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Ramender Singh Chauhan, Assistant Advocate General,
Haryana, for the respondent.

Surya Partap Singh, J.

1. This petition for anticipatory bail is the first petition filed by the petitioner under Section 482 of 'the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023'. It has been filed with regard to a case arising out of FIR No. 54 dated 12.01.2023, for the commission of offence punishable under Section(s) 199, 200, 419, 420, 467, 468, 471 and 120-B of 'the Indian Penal Code, 1860' Police Station Civil Line, District Sirsa, Haryana.

2. Vide order dated 19.03.2026, the petitioner was admitted to interim anticipatory bail, subject to the condition of furnishing bonds to the satisfaction of arresting officer. It was also directed that the petitioner shall join the investigation.

3. Heard.

4. It has been submitted by learned counsel for the petitioner that in compliance with order dated 19.03.2026, the petitioner has already joined

the investigation, and that nothing has been left to be recovered from the

possession of petitioner. In view of above, the learned counsel for the petitioner has requested that the order dated 19.03.2026 be made absolute.

5. The learned State counsel has controverted the above-mentioned arguments. According to the learned State counsel, although the petitioner has joined the investigation, but her attitude was non-cooperative, and he had not facilitated the recovery of money. As per learned State counsel in view of above the custodial interrogation of the petitioner is necessary.

6. The record has been perused carefully.

7. With regard to fact-situation of this case and the above-mentioned arguments, following are the relevant factors which are supposed to be taken into consideration:-

- i) that the petitioner has already joined the investigation;
- ii) that the petitioner cannot be forced to help the prosecuting agency, for the recovery of incriminating material which may bent her interests; and
- iii) that there is nothing significant, which has to be recovered in this case with the assistance of petitioner.

8. Keeping in view the above discussed factors the order dated 19.03.2026 is hereby made absolute. The present petition stands **allowed**, accordingly.

(Surya Partap Singh)
Judge

April 07, 2026
“DK”

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No