



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

(217/2)

RSA-280-1999(O&M)
Date of Decision:-24.03.2026

Kanta

.....Appellant

Versus

Mandir and Baghica and Others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMARINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present: Ms. Harjeet Kaur, Advocate, for
Mr. Sukhandeep Singh Brar, Advocate,
for the appellant.

Mr. Jai Bhagwan, Advocate,
for respondent No.4.

AMARINDER SINGH GREWAL, J. (Oral)

1. Learned counsel for the appellant submits that despite repeated efforts, she has not been able to establish contact with appellant. Even today, learned counsel has expressed her inability to proceed further in the matter as she continues to have no instructions or communication from the appellant.
2. It, therefore, appears that the appellant is not interested in prosecuting the present appeal.
3. In the aforesaid circumstances, it is evident that no useful purpose would be served by keeping the present appeal pending on the docket for an indefinite period.
4. Accordingly, the present appeal is dismissed in default for want of prosecution.



5. However, liberty is granted to the appellant to seek revival of the appeal in accordance with law, in case any worthwhile cause survives for consideration.
6. Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

(AMARINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

24.03.2026
Shubham

Whether speaking/reasoned:-	Yes/No
Whether Reportable:-	Yes/No