



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(212)

RSA-2758-1999 (O&M)
Date of Decision:-09.03.2026

SARUP SINGH ... Appellant
Versus
GURBACHAN SINGH AND ANOTHER ...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIRINDER AGGARWAL

Present: Mr. Lavish, Advocate
for Mr. Sanjiv Gupta, Advocate
for the appellant.

None for the respondent No.1.

Respondent No.2 proceeded against ex parte
vide order dated 21.09.1999.

VIRINDER AGGARWAL, J. (Oral)

1. Learned counsel for the appellant vide order dated 11.12.2025 submitted that he intends to move an application for impleading LR's of respondent No.1. Appellant has not complied with the order dated 11.12.2025. Even the cost subject to which the appeal was adjourned on the previous date has not been deposited. Again today, adjournment is sought by counsel for the appellant. Considering the fact that cost subject to which appeal had been adjourned, has not been deposited, the appeal cannot be proceeded any further.
2. In such circumstances, no useful purpose would be served by keeping the instant appeal on the docket, indefinitely.
3. Accordingly, the instant appeal stands dismissed.
4. As a natural corollary, since the main case stands dismissed for want of prosecution of, all pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of, as no fruitful purpose would be served by keeping them pending.

09th March, 2026
Poonam

(VIRINDER AGGARWAL)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned / speaking?
Whether reportable?

Yes / No
Yes / No