

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

2026.PHHC.048179



CRM-M-15392 of 2026(O&M)

Reserved on: 25.03.2026

Pronounced on: 27.03.2026

Uploaded on: 27.03.2026

Bittu Ram @ Vicky

..Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another

..Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE SHALINI SINGH NAGPAL

Present: Mr. Lal Singh Sandhu, Advocate
for the petitioner.
Ms. Kanica Sachdeva, DAG Haryana.

SHALINI SINGH NAGPAL, J.

Petitioner seeks regular bail in case vide FIR No. 508 dated 17.10.2025 under Sections 137, 96 of BNS, 2023 Police Station Rania, District Sirsa, Haryana. Section 351(2) and 87 of BNS, 2023 were added in the FIR lateron. This is the first petition for regular bail.

Father of the minor girl reported that in the night of 15.10.2025 he and his family slept after taking meals. On 16.10.2025, when they woke up his daughter 'K' aged 16 years was missing. Despite search she could not be found. After registration of FIR, during investigation, on 18.10.2025 prosecutrix was recovered and was medicolegally examined. Her statement under Section 183 BNSS was recorded. She stated that on 15.10.2025 in the night of 11:30 p.m. she left home, without informing anyone, with Vicky who came to pick her up. Vicky had threatened her to go with him and took her on his motor cycle to Salasar. Then they went to Bikaner on Bike and the day before, her father and brother caught her. He did not commit anything wrong.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner was behind bars for the last more than five months. Matter had since been compromised and parents of the prosecutrix had both sworn affidavits that the FIR was got registered out of misunderstanding and that they had no objection if petitioner was released on bail. Learned counsel further submits that petitioner had no criminal antecedents and deserved to be released on bail.

Learned State counsel has opposed the prayer for regular bail arguing that in her statement under Section 183 BNSS, prosecutrix fully supported prosecution case. Trial was at initial stage and no witness was examined.

Petitioner is behind bars for the last more than five months. His antecedents are clean. He is not stated to be involved in any other offence. Commencement and conclusion of trial is likely to take long. There is no reason to suspect that once released on bail, petitioner would obstruct the course of justice or flee from the process of law. In the given facts and circumstances of the case, considering the period of incarceration and antecedents of the petitioner, petitioner is ordered to be released on regular bail subject to his furnishing adequate bail and surety bonds to the satisfaction of trial Court/Duty Magistrate.

All the pending miscellaneous applications, if any, stand disposed of.

(SHALINI SINGH NAGPAL)
JUDGE

27.03.2026

reema	Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes
	Whether reportable	:	No