



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

212

CRM-M No.15201 of 2026
Date of decision : 20.4.2026
Date of uploading : 21.4.2026

Karamdeep KaurPetitioner

Versus

State of PunjabRespondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUMEET GOEL

Present: Mr. JPS Brar, Advocate, for the petitioner (through VC)

Mr. Hemant Aggarwal, DAG, Punjab

SUMEET GOEL, J. (ORAL)

1. On 19.3.2026, the following order was passed:

'Apprehending her arrest in FIR No.22 dated 17.02.2026, registered for offences punishable under Sections 306, 34 of IPC (Sections 108 and 3(5) of BNS), at Police Station GRP Bathinda, District Bathinda; the petitioner has preferred this petition under Section 482 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 seeking pre-arrest bail.

Inter alia contends that the petitioner has been falsely implicated into the FIR in question; assuming arguendo, the prosecution version is taken to be correct, the prosecution version, available at this juncture, is taken to be correct, no culpability can be ascribed to the petitioner for offence under Section 108 of the BNS (erstwhile Section 306 of the IPC), no effective recovery is to be made from the petitioner. In order to buttress his argument(s), learned counsel relies upon dicta of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case of Mohit Singhal and another versus The State of Uttarakhand and others, 2024(1) RCR (Criminal) 72 and Abhinav Mohan Delkar versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors., 2025 INSC 990.

Notice of motion.

On the strength of advance service of copy of petition, Mr. Hemant



Aggarwal, DAG Punjab appears and accepts notice on behalf of the respondent –State of Punjab.

The respondent is at liberty to file reply, if so advised.

Put up on 20.04.2026.

To be heard along with CRM-M-13836-2026.

The petitioner is directed to appear before the Investigating Officer on 24.03.2026 at 11:00 A.M. in concerned Police Station and join investigation. In the event of arrest, the petitioner shall be released on interim bail subject to her furnishing personal/ surety bond(s) to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer/Investigating Officer. As and when further called by Investigating Officer, the petitioner shall join the investigation. She shall abide by the condition(s) enumerated under Section 482(2) of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023.'

2. Learned State counsel has filed status report by way of affidavit of Jaskaran Singh, PPS, Deputy Superintendent of Police, GRP, Zonal Ferozepur in Court today. The same be kept on record. Raising submissions in tandem with the said status report, learned State counsel submits that pursuant to the order dated 19.3.2026, the petitioner has joined investigation and is no longer required for custodial interrogation.

3. In view of the above, the instant petition is allowed. Interim order dated 19.3.2026, passed by this Court is made absolute, subject to the conditions as enumerated under Section 482(2) of BNSS.

4. This order should not be treated as “blanket” order. It will not be read granting petitioner indefinite protection from arrest. It shall be confined to the FIR mentioned *ibid* and will not operate in respect of any other incident that involves commission of an offence.

5. Liberty is reserved in favour of State/complainant to move for cancellation/recall of this order in case the petitioner violates any



condition stipulated under Section 482(2) of BNSS or upon showing any other sufficient cause.

6. Needless to say that anything observed herein above shall not be construed to be an opinion on the merits of the case.

(SUMEET GOEL)
JUDGE

20.4.2026
Ashwanii

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No