



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

142

CWP-8375-2026

Date of Decision: 19.03.2026

GURPREET KAUR THROUGH HER ATTORNEY

...Petitioner

Versus

STATE AND PUNJAB AND OTHERS

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present:- Mr. Ashish Pal Kaushal, Advocate for petitioner

Mr. Aman Dhir, D.A.G., Punjab

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioner through instant petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India is seeking direction to respondent No.3 to take action against private respondents under Section 81 and 82 of Registration Act. She is further praying that respondents No.7 to 9 may be directed not to alienate the suit property.

2. As per petitioner, she has filed civil suit with respect to land comprised in Khata No. 167/190, 168/191, 112/127, Khasra Nos. 32//23/2; 38//3; 32/18, 19, 20, 21/2, 22, 33/16, 17, 18/1; 38//4/1; and 2//23/1, as mentioned in jamabandi for the year 1998-99. The suit was filed in 2017. The petitioner applied for stay which was rejected by Ld. Civil Judge (Junior Division), Ludhiana. She preferred an appeal and Ld. District Judge, Ludhiana vide order dated 06.03.2019 passed injunction order. The suit was dismissed



for non-prosecution, however, on 26.07.2023 was restored to its original number and stage vide order dated 08.01.2024. Despite stay order passed by Civil Courts, the respondents in connivance with each other has sold land in question to respondent No.11. The respondents have committed offence punishable under Sections 81 and 82 of Registration Act. The Registering Officer is supposed to launch prosecution against erring persons.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that respondent is supposed to take action under Sections 81, 82 & 83 of Registration Act.

4. Heard the arguments and perused the record.

5. From the perusal of record, it is evident that matter is pending before Civil Court. The petitioner wants that criminal proceedings should be initiated against private and official respondents. The petitioner has effective and efficacious civil as well as criminal remedies.

6. Disposed of with liberty to petitioner to raise all issues before civil or criminal Courts as per law. It is made clear that this Court has not expressed any opinion on merits.

7. Pending Misc. application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

19.03.2026
SDK

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No