

2026:PHHC:044300-DB



LPA-768-2026 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 20.03.2026

Sumit

...Appellant

Vs.

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ASHWANI KUMAR MISHRA
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ROHIT KAPOOR****Present:** Mr. Yojit Kaushik, Advocate for the appellant.
(through video conferencing)

Mr. Nitin Kaushal, Addl. AG, Haryana.

Mr. Parveen Kumar, Advocate for respondent No.5.

ASHWANI KUMAR MISHRA, J. (Oral)

1. Learned Single Judge has non-suited the appellant only on the ground that he has the remedy of approaching the Educational Tribunal.
2. Learned counsel for the appellant submits that on a previous occasion when the selection was assailed, the writ petition was entertained and was ultimately withdrawn on the assurance of the College that he would be considered later on approval of the post.
3. Be that as it may, merely because an earlier writ petition was entertained where selection was challenged, without adverting to the issue of alternate remedy, it would not mean that an effective and efficacious remedy available otherwise ought to be bypassed.

4. In that view of the matter, we do not find any ground to interfere in the well-reasoned judgment of the learned Single Judge. The appeal is dismissed accordingly.
5. All pending misc. application(s), if any, also stand disposed of.

(ASHWANI KUMAR MISHRA)
JUDGE

(ROHIT KAPOOR)
JUDGE

20.03.2026
rajesh

1. Whether speaking/reasoned? : Yes/No
2. Whether reportable? : Yes/No