



CR-2538-2026

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

(118)

CR-2538-2026

Date of Decision:-19.03.2026

Sanju Yadav

.....Petitioner

Versus

Mohit Yadav

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMARINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present: Mr. Ajay Jain, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

AMARINDER SINGH GREWAL, J. (Oral)

1. The present revision petition has been filed under Article 227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of appropriate directions to the trial Court to hear and finally adjudicate upon the application filed by the petitioner/plaintiff for striking off the defence of the defendant/respondent on account of non-filing of the written statement, in a time-bound manner.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner had filed a suit for recovery against the respondent. It is further contended that on 15.05.2025, the defendant/respondent appeared before the learned trial Court for the first time and thereafter, on 13.10.2025, the petitioner/plaintiff moved an application for striking off the defence of the defendant on account of non-filing of the written statement. However, the case now stands adjourned to 11.05.2026 for filing of reply to the said application, and more than six months have elapsed that the case is pending merely for this purpose. It is argued that the respondent/defendant is



CR-2538-2026

intentionally delaying the proceedings and the learned trial Court is granting unnecessary adjournments without deciding the said application, thereby causing prejudice to the petitioner. Hence, a prayer has been made for deciding the said application in a time-bound manner.

3. I have heard learned counsel for the petitioner and perused the paper-book.

4. Considering the limited nature of relief sought, issuance of notice to the respondent is dispensed with, as the same would only lead to further delay in the proceedings.

5. In view of the averments made in the petition and keeping in view the fact that an unusually long adjournment has been granted by the learned trial Court for filing reply to the aforesaid application, the present revision petition stands disposed of, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case. The learned Civil Judge (Junior Division), Rewari is directed to decide the pending application moved by the petitioner/plaintiff for striking off the defence of the defendant on account of non-filing of the written statement in CS-58-2025, within 15 days from today, in accordance with law.

6. The Registry is directed to forward a copy of this order to the learned Civil Judge (Junior Division), Rewari for compliance, without any unnecessary delay.

(AMARINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

19.03.2026
Shubham

Whether speaking/reasoned:-	Yes/No
Whether Reportable:-	Yes/No



CR-2538-2026