



109

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-8523-2026

Date of decision: 20.03.2026

Mahesh Kumar

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARPREET SINGH BRAR

Present: Mr. Ishan Gupta, Advocate and
Ms. Vrinda Katoch, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Vikas Sonak, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. Gian Chand Garg, Advocate
for respondent No.3.**HARPREET SINGH BRAR, J. (ORAL)**

1. The present civil writ petition has been filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of *certiorari* for quashing the impugned speaking order No.472 dated 27.03.2024 (Annexure P-4). Further, for issuance of a writ in the nature of *mandamus* directing the respondents to grant/release the complete retiral service benefits in accordance with revised basic pay as per 6th PPC along with interest on the delayed payment, to grant better gratuity benefits as per the Punjab Municipal Safai Karamchari Service Rules, 1984 and also to grant any other dues in the respondent-Department along with interest @ 18% per annum.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he would be satisfied if the representation (Annexure P-5) of the petitioner is decided by respondent No.3 by passing a speaking order in a time bound manner.

**CWP-8523-2026****-2-**

3. Learned State counsel, appearing on advanced notice as well as counsel appearing for respondent No.3, submit that they have no objection in case a direction is issued to respondent No.3 for time-bound consideration and decision of the representation of the petitioner by passing a speaking order.

4. Therefore, in view of the limited prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioner, respondent No.3 is directed to consider the representation (Annexure P-5) of the petitioner and pass a speaking order, after affording him an opportunity to be heard, within a period of 03 months from the date of receiving a certified copy of this order. Further, the decision taken thereof shall be conveyed to the petitioner. Needless to say, if the petitioner is found entitled to the relief sought, the same shall be granted forthwith by respondent No.3.

5. Disposed of, accordingly.

(HARPREET SINGH BRAR)
JUDGE

20.03.2026*Neha*

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No