



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH

(213)

RSA-966-2000 (O&M)  
Date of Decision:-11.02.2026

JOGINDER SINGH AND ANOTHER ... Appellants  
Versus  
HARBHAJAN KAUR AND ANOTHER ...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIRINDER AGGARWAL

Present: Mr. Udeyveer Singh Brar, Advocate  
for the appellants.

Mr. Parveen Kumar Garg, Advocate  
for respondent No.1.

\*\*\*\*

VIRINDER AGGARWAL, J. (Oral)

1. Notice was issued to the appellants. Appellants No.1 and 2 both are duly served. Counsel for the appellants submitted that she is not having any instructions from the appellants. So, it seems that appellant is not interested in pursuing the appeal and this appeal arises out of a suit for permanent injunction only.
2. In such circumstances, no useful purpose would be served by keeping the instant appeal on the docket, indefinitely.
3. Accordingly, the instant appeal stands dismissed with liberty to the appellants to revive the same, if have any grievance from this order, within a period of three months from today.
4. As a natural corollary, since the main case stands dismissed for want of prosecution of, all pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of, as no fruitful purpose would be served by keeping them pending.

11<sup>th</sup> February, 2026

Poonam

(VIRINDER AGGARWAL)  
JUDGE

Whether reasoned / speaking?  
Whether reportable?

Yes / No  
Yes / No