



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-14976-2026

Date of Decision: 12.05.2026

Gurbhej Singh @ Bheja

...Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab

...Respondent

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice N.S.Shekhawat

Present : Mr. Parveen Chauhan, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Charanpreet Singh, AAG, Punjab.

N.S.Shekhawat J. (Oral)

1. The petitioner has filed the present petition under Section 483 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 with a prayer to grant regular bail to him in case FIR No.239, dated 12.11.2025, registered under Sections 21-C and 29/61/85 of NDPS Act, Section 25/25(1)(B), 54/59 of Arms Act, at Police Station Catonment Amritsar, District Amritsar, Punjab (Annexure P-1).

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the FIR in the present case was registered on the basis of a secret information regarding involvement of Karanbir Singh @ Karan and Sukhwinder Singh @ Sunny, who were apprehended by the police at the spot along with .30 bore pistols and magazines. The petitioner was neither named in the secret information nor in the FIR nor had any connection with the alleged recovery, which was effected from his both co-accused. In fact, the involvement of the petitioner was shown in the present case on the basis of the disclosure statements suffered by Karanbir Singh @ Karan and Sukhwinder Singh @ Sunny, both co-accused and

there was no other connecting evidence in the present case. Apart from that, 1010 grams of heroin was recovered at the instance of his co-accused and there is no evidence to connect the petitioner with the said recovery of heroin. Learned counsel next contends that only recovery of one pistol was planted on him and he was wrongly arrested by the police. Learned counsel next contends that he was arrested in the present case on 12.11.2025 and is in custody for almost six months. He next contends that the challan has already been presented against him before the competent Court and the trial has not been formally commenced against him. He further submits that his case is clearly distinguishable from the case of his co-accused namely Karanbir Singh @ Karan and Sukhwinder Singh @ Sunny.

3. On the other hand, learned State counsel has vehemently opposed the submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioner on the ground that serious allegations have been levelled against the present petitioner and he does not deserve the concession of bail by this Court.

4. I have heard the learned counsel for the parties and perused the record carefully.

5. It is not in dispute that the petitioner is continuing in custody for the last six months and challan has already been presented against him. Moreover, the commercial quantity of heroin was recovered at the instance of his co-accused and there is no evidence to suggest that the petitioner had any connection with the said recovery of contraband. Thus, the further custody of the petitioner will not serve any meaningful purpose.

6. At this stage, without commenting anything on the merits of the case, the present petition is allowed. The petitioner is ordered to be released on

bail subject to his furnishing bail bonds and surety bonds to the satisfaction of the concerned trial Court/ Duty Magistrate/Chief Judicial Magistrate.

12.05.2026
hitesh

(N.S.SHEKHAWAT)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No