



114

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

-.-

**CRWP-3087-2026 (O&M)
Date of Decision : 23.03.2026**

Sanjay Kumar

...Petitioner

VERSUS

State of U.T.Chandigarh and Others

...Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE MANDEEP PANNU

Present: Ms. Manju Goyal, Advocate for the petitioner.

-.-

MANDEEP PANNU J. (Oral)

1. The present petition has been filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India seeking issuance of direction to the official respondents to protect the life and liberty of the petitioner at the hands of respondent No.3 and to initiate appropriate action against him.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that for redressal of his grievance, the petitioner has filed a representation dated 16.03.2026 (Annexure P-4) before respondent No.2, however, no action has been taken thereon till date.

3. Notice of motion.

4. Mr. Narender Kumar Vashist, Addl. P.P. U.T.Chandigarh, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State.

5. After hearing learned counsel for the parties, the present petition is disposed of with direction to respondent No. 2 to decide the representation dated 16.03.2026 (Annexure P-4) filed by the petitioner in

accordance with law as expeditiously as possible and to grant immediate protection, if any threat to the life and liberty to the petitioner, is perceived. It is being clarified that this order shall not be taken to grant immunity to the petitioner for legal action for violation of law, if any, committed by him.

6. Pending application(s), if any, is/are disposed of.

March 23, 2026
tripti

(MANDEEP PANNU)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/non-speaking : Speaking
Whether reportable : Yes/No