



CRM-M-17866-2024

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

125

CRM-M-17866-2024(O&M)
Decided on :11.02.2026

Dr. Sudesh Sharma and another

.....Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and another

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH

Present: Mr. Vishal Sharma, Advocate and
Mr. S.S. Aviraj, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Kanwar Sanjiv Kumar, AAG Haryana

SANJAY VASHISTH, J. (ORAL)

1. Present petition has been filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. for quashing of NACT No. 126 of 2016 dated 17.02.2016 titled as *Satpal vs. Dr. Sudesh Sharma* (Annexure P-1) and summoning order dated 11.03.2016 (Annexure P-2) passed by CJM, Kurukshetra, whereby petitioner has been wrongly summoned to face trial under Section 138 of the Negotiable Instruments Act, 1881 and other subsequent proceedings arising from the complaint.

2. On 04.03.2025, the following order was passed:

“i. Vide order dated 30.04.2024, parties were directed to appear before the Mediation and Conciliation Centre of this Court on 13.05.2024 to explore the possibility of final settlement regarding the complaint. Thereupon, efforts were made by the Mediation and Conciliation Centre and the dispute was settled by executing a settlement agreement vide Mediation Case No.920/2024.

ii. Counsel for the applicants-petitioners submits that as per final settlement an amount of Rs.15,50,000/- (Rs. Fifteen Lacs and Fifty Thousand only) was to be paid by the applicant-petitioner to respondent No.2, upto the period i.e. 31.10.2024. After making payment of Rs.11,50,000/- (Rs. Eleven Lacs Fifty Thousand only), due to some personal difficulty, petitioners defaulted in making payment of the balance amount of Rs.4,00,000/- (Rs. Four Lacs only), up-till



CRM-M-17866-2024

31.10.2024.

Further submits that though the application has been moved to stay the trial Court proceedings, however, he is ready to make the balance payment along-with interest on account of delay caused, if same is acceptable to respondent No.2 – Complaint, before the Mediation and Conciliation Centre.

iii. *From the submissions made by counsel for the applicants petitioners, it appears that dispute would almost be resolved after making the payment of the balance amount.*

Additionally, as submitted by counsel for the applicants petitioners, respondent No.2 – Satpal Vats, has now expired, and therefore, the earlier proceedings before the Mediation and Conciliation Centre of this Court, were initiated by his son, namely; Rajiv Vats.

iv. *Accordingly, parties i.e. applicant-petitioners and son of respondent No.2 (Rajiv Vats), are directed to be remain present before the Mediation and Conciliation Centre of this Court on 12.03.2025, for resolving the dispute amicably. Needless to add that parties shall remain present on each and every date fixed by the said Forum.*

v. *For awaiting report, adjourned to 28.04.2025.*

vi. *The Mediation and Conciliation Centre of this Court shall send a prior information to Rajiv Vats (son of respondent No.2) again for receiving of the balance amount, as stated by counsel for the applicants petitioners here.*

Meanwhile, proceedings before the trial Court shall remain stayed, till the next date of hearing.”

3. At the very outset, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that on account of settlement between the parties, respondent No. 2–complainant has already withdrawn the complaint. He has placed on record order dated 13.09.2025 passed by learned Presiding Officer-cum-JMFC, National Lok Adalat, Kurukshetra.

4. In view of the above, the present petition has been rendered infructuous and the same is disposed of as such.

11.02.2026

reena

(SANJAY VASHISTH)
JUDGE

Whether Speaking/Reasoned: YES/NO
Whether Reportable: YES/NO