



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH

(210)

RSA-2125-1999 (O&M)

Date of Decision:-21.05.2026

MUNICIPAL COMMITTEE, SOHNA

... Appellant

Versus

MURTI SHRI HANUMAN JI MAHARAJ INSTALLED IN  
MANDIR HANUMANJI AND ANOTHER

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIRINDER AGGARWAL

Present: None.

\*\*\*\*

VIRINDER AGGARWAL, J. (Oral)

1. None appeared on behalf of the parties. Even on the last date of hearing, none appeared for the respondent. This is a suit for permanent injunction arising out of the year 1987. In the absence of the parties, case cannot proceed further.

2. As such, no useful purpose would be served by keeping the case on the docket, indefinitely.

3. Accordingly, the instant appeal stands dismissed for want of prosecution.

4. As a natural corollary, since the main case stands dismissed for want of prosecution of, all pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of, as no fruitful purpose would be served by keeping them pending.

21<sup>st</sup> May, 2026  
Poonam

(VIRINDER AGGARWAL)  
JUDGE

Whether reasoned / speaking?  
Whether reportable?

Yes / No  
Yes / No