



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH

\*\*\*

136

CWP-8288-2026

Date of Decision: 18.03.2026

HARMEET SINGH

...Petitioner

Versus

COMPETITION COMMISSION OF INDIA, THROUGH THE  
SECRETARY & ANOTHER

...Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL**

Present:- Mr. Harmeet Singh, Petitioner in person

Mr. Kunal Vinyak, Advocate for respondent-CCI

\*\*\*

**JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (ORAL)**

1. The petitioner through instant petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India is seeking direction to Competition Commission of India to consider and adjudicate Case No.45 of 2025 titled as '*Harmeet Singh v. Nanuan and Another*'.

2. The petitioner claims that he on 30.11.2025 filed an application under Section 19 of Competition Act, 2002 before Competition Commission of India. The application was allotted Case No.45 of 2025. He has sent multiple e-mails to Commission, however, till date no order has been passed.

3. The petitioner has not filed an application under Right to Information Act, 2005 whereas application has been filed under Section 19 of



Competition Act. He wants adjudication under Section 26 of Competition Act, 2002.

4. From the perusal of e-mails placed on record, it is evident that Competition Commission has registered petitioner's application vide Case No.45. The matter now would be put up before members of the Commission. This Court cannot control Competition Commission of India especially when it is unknown whether quorum is available or not and what is status of pendency.

5. In the backdrop, the petition deserves to be dismissed and accordingly dismissed.

6. Pending Misc. application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

**(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)**  
**JUDGE**

**18.03.2026**  
SDK

|                           |        |
|---------------------------|--------|
| Whether speaking/reasoned | Yes/No |
| Whether reportable        | Yes/No |