


**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

2026.PHHC.023872



**COCp-3940-2023 (O&M)
Date of decision: 16.02.2026**

RAMESH KUMAR MODA AND ORS**..Petitioners****Versus****INDER MOHAN SINGH****..Respondent****CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE SUDEEPTI SHARMA**

Present: Mr. Mani Ram Verma, Advocate
Mr. Nipun Verma, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Sanjiv Gupta, Sr. Advocate
with Mr. Anuj Gupta, Advocate
Mr. Rajeshwar Oswal, Advocate
for respondent.

SUDEEPTI SHARMA, J. (Oral)

1. The contempt petition has been filed for deliberate and intentional disobedience of order dated 21.12.2002 in execution of decree dated 25.02.1989.

2. Learned Senior counsel for respondent contends that no contempt is made out.

3. Para 5 of the contempt petition is reproduced as under:-

“That possession of the property aforesaid was handed over by the JDs to the decree holder and appeal filed by the JD in the Hon'ble High Court was dismissed for non-prosecution in view of the fact that JD in the executing court compromised the matter and therefore nothing survived in the appeal.”

4. Order dated 21.12.2002 passed by learned Additional Civil Judge (Senior Division), Bhiwani is reproduced as under:-

“Present:- Sh.D. K Gupta, counsel for DH.

Sh. A. Sardana, counsel for the JD.

File taken up today on an application. The JDs have made a statement that they shall be bound by Ex. C-1 and they had arrived at compromise with the DH. DH Satyanarain gupta has made a statement that he does not wish to proceed with the execution petition and the same be dismissed as withdrawn. In view of the statement of the parties, recorded today, the execution petition is dismissed as withdrawn. The parties shall remain bound by the compromise Ex. C-1. File be consigned to record room.”

5. The undertaking given by the parties is reproduced as under:-

“UNDERTAKING

We, Satnam Singh son of Tara Singh and Inder Mohan son of Shri Satnam Singh, do hereby give undertaking to the court that we shall vacate the decretal property by 31.12.2006 of our own. Therefore, the execution be disposed of in the above terms for which opposite party decree holder agree.”

6. In view of the same, no contempt is made out.
7. Dismissed.
8. All the pending miscellaneous applications, if any, are also disposed of.

February 16th, 2026

Ayub/Saahil

**(SUDEEPTI SHARMA)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No