



CRM-M-15939-2026

-1-

**223 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH****CRM-M-15939-2026**

Date of Decision: 21.05.2026

Vikas @ Sunny

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH BHARDWAJ

Present: Mr. Vaibhav Narang, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr.Raj Karan Singh, AAG, Punjab.

Rajesh Bhardwaj, J. (ORAL)

1. Petitioner has approached this Court by way of filing the present petition praying for grant of regular bail in case FIR No.93 dated 26.09.2024, registered under Sections 103, 191(3), 190, 333, 238 BNS, 2023 and Sections 25, 27, 54 and 59 of the Arms Act, 1959, at Police Station Kathu Nangal, District Amritsar Rural.

2. Succinctly the facts of the case is that the FIR was lodged on the statement of the complainant -Raghbir Singh. It was alleged that on 25.09.2024, at around 06:30 PM, his brother Bhagwant Singh was milking the cattles, in the meantime, Amanpreet Singh and Dilraj Singh along with 5 unknown persons duly armed with kirpans entered their house. His brother, namely, Bhagwant Singh started talking to them, then Amanpreet Singh took out the pistol and fired upon his brother with intention to kill. The gunshots hit on the chest and shoulder of his brother, thereafter Dilraj Singh exhorted Amanpreet Singh to fire him on his head, otherwise he will survive and thereafter he again fired on his head. On receiving the fire arm injuries, he was shifted to Escort Hospital where he was declared dead. The request was made to take legal action against the accused persons. On the registration of the FIR, investigation commenced. During investigation, the supplementary statement of the complainant was recorded wherein he named the petitioner.



CRM-M-15939-2026

-2-

Thus petitioner was arrayed as an accused and resultantly, he was arrested on 10.10.2024. On completion of the investigation, the challan was presented and on framing of charges, trial commenced. The petitioner approached learned Additional Sessions Judge, Amritsar praying for grant of bail, however, finding no merit, their application was declined after hearing both the sides by learned Court vide order dated 08.04.2025. Earlier also, the petitioner approached this Court by way of filing CRM-M-22259-2025, however, the same was dismissed as not pressed vide order dated 01.05.2025. Hence, aggrieved by the same, petitioner is again before this Court by way of filing of present second petition for grant of bail.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner, at the outset, prays for the grant of bail to the petitioner on the basis of parity with that of the co-accused, namely, Vijay @ Vijaypreet and Rachpal Singh. He has drawn the attention of this Court to a common order dated 18.02.2026 passed in **CRM-M-37138-2025** and another connected petition, whereby, co-accused Vijay @ Vijaypreet and Rachpal Singh, have been granted regular bail by this Court. He submits that on the basis of the parity, the petitioner deserves to be granted bail as the case of the petitioner is similar to that of the said co-accused, who have already been granted bail.

4. Status report by way of affidavit of Kamalmeet Singh, PPS, Deputy Superintendent of Police, Sub Division Majitha, Amritsar (Rural) filed in Court is taken on record.

Learned State counsel has endorsed the factum of grant of bail to the co-accused of the petitioner as stated above and has not denied that the petitioner is at par with the co-accused, namely, Vijay @ Vijaypreet and Rachpal Singh. He has placed on record the custody certificate of the



CRM-M-15939-2026

-3-

petitioner.

5. After hearing learned counsel for the parties and perusing the record, it is deciphered that the petitioner was arrested in this case on 10.10.2024. Co-accused, namely, Vijay @ Vijaypreet and Rachpal Singh are stated to be on regular bail and case of the petitioner is at par with that of the co-accused. Custody certificate of the petitioner shows that the petitioner has suffered incarceration of 01 year, 07 months & 08 days as on 20.05.2026. It further reflects that the petitioner has no criminal antecedents.

6. The veracity of the allegations would be assessed only after the conclusion of the trial and on the appreciation of evidence to be led by both the parties before the trial Court. This Court would refrain itself from commenting anything on the merits of the case. The trial of the case will take sufficient long time. Keeping in view the arguments raised by both the sides and perusing the record, this Court is of the opinion that learned counsel for the petitioner succeeds in making out a case for grant of regular bail to the petitioner on the basis of parity.

7. Accordingly, the present petition is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on his furnishing bail/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the concerned trial Court/Duty Magistrate.

8. Nothing said herein shall be treated as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

21.05.2026

sharmila

Whether Speaking/Reasoned : Yes/No
Whether Reportable : Yes/No

**(RAJESH BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE**