



CRM-M-14937-2026 (O&M)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

211

CRM-M-14937-2026 (O&M)

Reserved on: 21.04.2026

Pronounced on: 24.04.2026

Uploaded on: 24.04.2026

DALIP YADAV

PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ANOTHER

RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE SHALINI SINGH NAGPAL

Argued by: Mr. Rahul Vats, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Sukhbeer Singh, DAG Punjab.

Mr. Jaskaran Singh Sohal, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

Shalini Singh Nagpal, J.

1. Petitioner seeks regular bail in FIR No.165 dated 29.07.2025 under Sections 108 and 3(5) of Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita (B.N.S.), 2023 Police Station Balongi, Mohali, District SAS Nagar. This is the first petition for regular bail.

2. Complainant Ramesh Kumar alleged that his sister Pinki Yadav was married to Dalip Yadav in the year 2023. Elder brother of Dalip Yadav namely Arun Yadav, lived with the couple in SAS Nagar. His sister had no child on which account there remained disputes between the husband and wife. Arun Yadav also fought with Pinki Yadav. A month before the occurrence, his sister was given beatings which was video recorded. Information of the same was given to police station but the matter was compromised. For sometime, things were normal but his sister again told him that Dalip Yadav and Arun Yadav behaved with her in inhuman manner and taunted her for her inability to beget a child. On account of the harassment, in the intervening night of 28/29.07.2025, she committed suicide by hanging



CRM-M-14937-2026 (O&M)

with dupatta. It was stated that Dalip Yadav and his brother Arun Yadav compelled his sister to die.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner was in custody with effect from 29.07.2025, co-accused namely Arun Yadav against whom identical allegations were levelled was allowed regular bail by this Court vide order dated 05.03.2026 and case of the petitioner was at par. On the ground of parity, petitioner too became entitled to regular bail.

4. Learned State counsel, assisted by Mr. Jaskaran Singh Sohal, Advocate for respondent No.2, has opposed the prayer for regular bail submitting that petitioner was the main accused, husband of the deceased. A video recording was recovered by the police, showing the deceased in injured state narrating the cruelty inflicted by the petitioner. The matter was earlier compromised in the Police Station whereafter, the incident occurred. Petitioner, thus, did not deserve the concession of bail.

5. Co-accused Arun Yadav has been allowed regular bail vide order dated 05.03.2026 of this Court. Petitioner is in custody with effect from 29.07.2025. The alleged harassment and the domestic quarrel between the husband and the wife, as per FIR version occurred on account of inability of the deceased to conceive a child. Whether the act and conduct attributed to the petitioner would amount to incitement and abetment of suicide by deceased would be a matter of trial, which is likely to take some time to conclude. Petitioner is not stated to be involved in any other case. There is no reason to believe that he would evade the trial or obstruct the course of justice. On the ground of parity and considering the period of incarceration, the petition is allowed. Petitioner is ordered to be released on regular bail, subject to his



CRM-M-14937-2026 (O&M)

furnishing adequate bail and surety bonds to the satisfaction of Trial Court/Duty Magistrate.

6. Pending miscellaneous applications, if any, also stand disposed of.

(SHALINI SINGH NAGPAL)
JUDGE

24.04.2026
HS.CHAUHAN

Whether Speaking/Reasoned :	Yes/No
Whether Reportable :	Yes/No