

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

2026.11.HHC.042258



(125)

CRWP-3109-2026 (O&M)

Decided on : 18.03.2026

Date of uploading: 18.03.2026

Sana and another

.....Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of U.T. Chandigarh and others

.....Respondent(s)

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SUMEET GOEL

Present:- Mr. Puneet Pali, Advocate for the petitioner.

SUMEET GOEL, JUDGE (Oral)

1. The petition in hand has been preferred under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India with the following substantive prayer(s):-

“It is therefore respectfully prayed that the present petition may kindly be allowed and directions may kindly be issued to respondents no 2 & 3, for not harassing/implicating the petitioners in any false case at the instance of respondent no 4 to 6 on account of love marriage of petitioners.

It is further prayer that the respondents no 2 & 3 may be directed to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners so that no harm is caused to them by the respondent no 4 to 6.”

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners, after arguing for sometime, has limited his prayer by stating that he would be satisfied, for the *nonce*, if a direction is issued to respondent No.2 (Senior Superintendent of Police, U.T. Chandigarh) to consider and take a conscious decision on the representation dated 14.03.2026 made by the petitioners (copy whereof has been annexed as Annexure P-5 with the petition in hand) in a time-bound manner.

3. Notice of motion.

4. On the strength of advance copy; Mr. Sandeep Vashisht, Addl.

P.P., U.T. Chandigarh, accepts notice on behalf of respondent Nos.1 to 3. He

has urged that he has no objection in case limited prayer made by the petitioners, for decision of their representation dated 14.03.2026 (Annexure P-5), is directed for.

5. Having heard learned counsel for the rival party(s) and upon perusal of the paper-book, this Court deems it appropriate to dispose of the petition in hand with the following directions:

- (i) Respondent No.2 (Senior Superintendent of Police, U.T. Chandigarh) is mandated to look into the representation (Annexure P-5) moved by the petitioners, objectively consider the grievance(s) raised therein & take ratiocinated decision thereupon within a period of 15 days from today. Said decision be conveyed to the petitioners within 7 days thereafter
- (ii) No order as to costs.

18.03.2026
Naveen

(SUMEET GOEL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes/No
Whether Reportable :	Yes/No