218 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

RSA No. 4354 of 2000 (O&M)
DATE OF DECISION: 29.01.2026

NIRMAL SINGH AND ANOTHER

.....APPELLANTS

Vs.

BALWANT RAI DUGGAL

.....RESPONDENT

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMARINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present: None.

*****

AMARINDER SINGH GREWAL, J.(ORAL)

1. Today is the fourth consecutive date on which there is no representation on behalf of the appellants.

2. As per the report of the Registry, pursuant to the order dated 30.09.2025, notices issued to the appellants have been received back unserved due to incomplete addresses, and the notice issued to the sole respondent has been received back with the report that the respondent has expired. Further, the Registry has also informed Mr. Ranjit Sharma, Advocate, who earlier represented the appellants; however, no one is appearing to pursue the matter.

3. Keeping in view the above, this Court is left with no other option but to dismiss the appeal for non-prosecution.

4. Consequently, the appeal is dismissed for non-prosecution. However, liberty is granted to the appellants to move an appropriate application for revival of the appeal, if they so desire, in accordance with law.

5. Pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

JANUARY 29, 2026 **(AMARINDER SINGH GREWAL)**
nitin **JUDGE**

Whether Speaking Yes
Whether Reportable No

NITIN
2026.01.29 16:39
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document