



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(211) RSA-4299-2000 (O&M)
Date of Decision:-07.04.2026

AMAR NATH ... Appellant
Versus

GRAM PANCHAYAT, RAMPUR THODA AND ANOTHER
...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIRINDER AGGARWAL

Present: None.

VIRINDER AGGARWAL, J. (Oral)

1. Notice to Village Sarpanch has been duly served. Notice issued to the appellant has been received back with the report that he refused to accept the notice and was served through affixation. None has put in appearance.
2. In such circumstances, no useful purpose would be served by keeping the instant appeal on the docket, indefinitely.
3. Accordingly, the instant appeal stand dismissed for want of prosecution.
4. As a natural corollary, since the main case stands dismissed for want of prosecution of, all pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of, as no fruitful purpose would be served by keeping them pending.

07th April, 2026
Poonam

(VIRINDER AGGARWAL)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned / speaking?	Yes / No
Whether reportable?	Yes / No