



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

(133)

**CRM-M-15155-2026
DATE OF DECISION: 19.03.2026**

Jagjit Singh

.....Petitioner

VERSUS

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUBHAS MEHLA

Present Ms. Srishti S. Sharma, Advocate, for the petitioner.
Mr. Anup Singh, AAG, Punjab.

SUBHAS MEHLA, J (ORAL)

1. Present petition has been filed under Section 528 of BNSS for issuance of direction to respondents to constitute a Special Investigation Team (SIT) comprising senior officers of the department, other than the officers of District Fatehgarh Sahib, for considering and deciding the representations submitted by the petitioner to respondents no.2,3 and 5 (Annexures P-4 to P-6) and further directing respondent no.2 to conduct a fair and impartial inquiry in the matter.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that Special Investigation Team is to be constituted for a fair and independent inquiry into allegations of cheating, criminal breach of trust, misappropriation of funds and illegal retention of property by respondent Nos.6 &7; that the petitioner entered into a property partnership with respondent no.6 and invested substantial amounts, including ₹1 crore for purchase of agricultural land, supported by documents on record, however, respondent No.6 sold the land without consent of the petitioner and misappropriated the proceeds,



CRM-M-15155-2026

besides illegally retaining the petitioner’s tractor; that representations dated 30.10.2025 (Annexure P-5) and dated 05.03.2026 (Annexure P-6) have been moved to the higher authority but no action has been taken necessitating intervention of this Court.

3. In pursuance of advance notice, Mr.Anup Singh, AAG, Punjab, appeared and submitted that representation dated 30.10.2025 (Annexure P-5) was marked to SP(D) and same was dealt with and after enquiry it was found that the dispute between the partners is of civil nature and on similar facts another representation dated 05.03.2026 (Annexure P-6) has been submitted.

4. Heard.

5. Keeping in view the contention raised by the learned counsel for the petitioner as well as the statement made by learned State counsel that representation dated 30.10.2025 (Annexure P-5) has already been dealt with by higher authority and no cognizable offence has been found to be committed by the respondents and on the same set of allegations another representation dated 05.03.2026 (Annexure P-6) has been filed, so taking into consideration the facts and circumstances of the case, finding no merit in the present petition for issuing any direction to the respondents, the same is hereby dismissed.

19.03.2026
mamta

(SUBHAS MEHLA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No