



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

204

RSA-2294-1998 (O&M)

Date of decision: 22.01.2026

Birkha Ram and others

. . . . Appellants

Vs.

Leiah (Laiya) Biradari and others

. . . . Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR JUSTICE DEEPAK GUPTA

Present: - None for the appellants.

Mr.Anish Jain, Advocate, for respondent No.1.

Mr.Gaurav Garg, AAG, Haryana, for respondent No.2.

Mr.Himanshu Bindal, Advocate, for respondent No.3.

DEEPAK GUPTA, J. (ORAL)

Appearance has been made on behalf of respondent No.1 despite the fact that notice has been received back with the report of incomplete address.

2. Notice sent to the appellants is received back with the report that given address is incomplete.

3. Learned counsel for respondent No.1 informs that as per the instructions available with him, out of 14, as many as 8 appellants have expired and their LR's are not interested in pursuing the appeal.

4. Perusal of the paperbook also reveals that suit for declaration filed by the plaintiffs-appellants was dismissed by the trial Court on 16.08.1995 and appeal filed by them was dismissed by the Appellate Court on 06.05.1998 thus, upholding the findings. This appeal was filed against the concurrent findings.

5. Since no other addresses except the addresses provided in the memo of parties are available, this Court is constrained to dispose of the present appeal with liberty to the appellants to get it revived in case any cause of action survives.

22.01.2026

Vivek

(DEEPAK GUPTA)
JUDGE

<i>Whether Speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	<i>No</i>