



CRM-M-15169-2026

-1-

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

207

CRM-M-15169-2026

Date of decision : 01.04.2026

JASHANPREET SINGH

... PETITIONER

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB

.. RESPONDENT

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.S.GREWAL

Present:- Mr. Rajiv Kumar Saini, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Rohit Hans, DAG, Punjab.

H.S. Grewal, J.(Oral)

1. This petition has been filed under Section 482 BNSS, 2023 for grant of anticipatory bail in case GD No.29 dated 02.09.2025, under Sections 109, 190, 191(3), 126(2), 351(2) of BNS, 2023 (erstwhile Sections 307, 149, 148, 341, 506 IPC) and Section 25 of the Arms Act, 1959 arising out of FIR No.188 dated 13.08.2025, under Sections 109, 190, 191(3) BNS, 2023 (erstwhile Sections 307, 149, 148 IPC) and Section 25 of the Arms Act, registered at Police Station Jandiala, District Amritsar (Rural).

2. A Coordinate Bench of this Court, vide order dated 19.03.2026, had directed the petitioner to appear before the SHO/Investigating Officer and join investigation and in the event of his arrest, he was ordered to be released on interim bail to the satisfaction of the SHO/Investigating Officer, subject to the conditions envisaged under Section 482(2) of the BNSS (erstwhile Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.).



CRM-M-15169-2026

-2-

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in compliance of the order dated 19.03.2026 passed by the Coordinate Bench of this Court, the petitioner has joined and cooperated with the investigation.

4. Learned State counsel upon instructions states that the petitioner has joined the investigation and is not required for further custodial interrogation.

5. In view of the statement made by learned State counsel, the petition is allowed and the interim order dated 19.03.2026 is **made absolute**. The petitioner shall continue to join investigation, as and when called by the Investigating Officer and shall also abide by the conditions as provided under Section 482(2) of the BNSS.

6. However, it is clarified that the investigating authority on addition of an offence or offences may not proceed to arrest the accused/petitioner, but for arresting the accused/petitioner on such addition of offence or offences it needs to obtain an order to arrest the accused/petitioners from the Court which had granted the bail in view of the judgment passed by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in *Sumit Vs State of U.P. and Another*, Criminal Appeal No. 830 of 2026, decided on 09.02.2026.

7. Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

April 01, 2026
Sonia

(H.S.GREWAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No