



123

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-9106-2026

Date of decision: 25.03.2026

Satnam Singh

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARPREET SINGH BRAR**Present:** Mr. Aditya Dassaur, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Vikas Arora, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. H.S. Randhawa, Advocate
for respondent No.2.**HARPREET SINGH BRAR, J. (ORAL)**

1. The present civil writ petition has been filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of *mandamus* directing the respondents to protect the pay of the petitioner at the post of Accountant (Grade-I), Municipal Council, Kapurthala from the date of his joining i.e. 03.04.2018 in accordance with Punjab Government notification dated 15.01.2015 (Annexure P-3). Further, for directing the respondents to pay arrears of the difference between the protected pay which the petitioner should have drawn and the actual pay drawn by him from April, 2018 till date along with applicable dearness allowance, HRA and all other admissible allowances as well as to decide the representation dated 05.03.2026 (Annexure P-9) given by the petitioner.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he would be satisfied if the representation (Annexure P-9) of the petitioner is decided by

**CWP-9106-2026****-2-**

respondents No.2 & 4 by passing a speaking order in a time bound manner.

3. Learned State counsel, appearing on advanced notice as well as counsel appearing for respondent No.2 submits that they have no objection in case a direction is issued to respondents No.2 & 4 for time-bound consideration and decision of the representation of the petitioner by passing a speaking order.

4. Therefore, in view of the limited prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioner, respondents No.2 & 4 are directed to consider the representation (Annexure P-9) of the petitioner and pass a speaking order, after affording him an opportunity to be heard, within a period of 03 months from the date of receiving a certified copy of this order. Further, the decision taken thereof shall be conveyed to the petitioner. Needless to say, if the petitioner is found entitled to the relief sought, the same shall be granted forthwith by respondents No.2 & 4.

5. Disposed of, accordingly.

(HARPREET SINGH BRAR)
JUDGE

25.03.2026*Neha*

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No