



SHRI GURU TEG BAHADUR PUBLIC HIGH SCHOOL ...Appellant

Vs.

MANJIT KAUR NARULA

...Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIRINDER AGGARWAL

Present: None.

VIRINDER AGGARWAL, J. (Oral)

1. On the previous date of hearing, i.e., 21.11.2025, the following order has been passed:

“Learned counsel for the appellant submits that he is not in touch with the appellant/School and, therefore, prays that notice be issued to the appellant. He further submits that, earlier, the respondent was represented by Mr. M.K. Sharma, Advocate, who is also no longer appearing in the matter

In view thereof, let notices be issued to the parties, for 16.01.2026”

2. A perusal of the office report reveals that the notices issued to the parties were received back with the report that the appellant had been duly served through official process, whereas the respondent remained unserved on account of the house having been found locked for the last 4–5 years. The matter pertains to the year 1998. Even today, no one has appeared on behalf of the appellant. In these circumstances, it can safely be presumed that the parties are no longer interested in pursuing the present appeal. Consequently, no useful purpose would be served by keeping the instant appeal pending on the docket indefinitely.

3. Accordingly, the instant appeal stands dismissed for want of prosecution with liberty to the appellant to revive the same, if have any grievance from this order, within a period of three months from today.



4. As a natural corollary, since the main case stands disposed of, all pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of, as no fruitful purpose would be served by keeping them pending.

(VIRINDER AGGARWAL)
JUDGE

16.01.2026
kv

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No