



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(203)

RSA No. 2189 of 1998 (O&M)

Date of Decision : 20.03.2026

Labh Singh and others

...Appellants

Versus

Mohan Singh and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMARINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present: None for the appellants.

Mr. Shivaly Singla, Advocate for
respondent No. 1.

Mr. Kanish Jindal, Advocate
for respondents No. 2 and 3.

Amarinder Singh Grewal, J. (Oral)

1. The present Regular Second Appeal was instituted in the year 1998 and has remained pending for a considerable period. The matter is presently at the stage of bringing on record the legal representatives of appellants No. 1 and 2 as well as respondents No. 2 and 3. On the last date of hearing, it was recorded that in case, legal representatives of appellants No. 1 and 2 are not brought on record, the appeal shall be liable to be dismissed for non-prosecution. Two adjournments have already been sought by the counsel for the appellants for this purpose. Even today, there is no representation on behalf of the appellants. It seems that appellants are not interested in pursuing the present remedy.

2. In light of the above, the present appeal stands dismissed for non-prosecution with liberty to the appellants to seek its revival within the



period of limitation, in case, any cogent cause of action survives.

3. Pending application(s), if any, also stand disposed of.

March 20, 2026
kanchan

(AMARINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes

Whether reportable : No