



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

221-1

LPA No.438 of 2001 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 18.05.2026

SAT PAL (DECEASED) THROUGH LRS AND ANOTHER

.....Appellants

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHER

.....Respondents

221-2

LPA No.439 of 2001 (O&M)

MOHINDER SINGH

.....Appellant

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHER

.....Respondents

221-3

LPA No.842 of 2001 (O&M)

VARINDER SINGH AND ANOTHER

.....Appellants

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHER

.....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK MANCHANDA

Present: Mr. B.S. Rana, Senior Advocate with
Ms. Nayandeep Rana, Advocate,
for the appellants.

Mr. Sandeep Chhabra, Addl. Advocate General, Haryana.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J. (Oral)

1. By this common order, all the aforementioned appeals, the details of which have been given in the heading, are being disposed of as all the appeals involve the same question of law on similar facts.

2. In the present appeals, the challenge is to the impugned order



dated 07.10.1999 passed by the learned Single Judge.

3. Learned senior counsel for the appellants submits that the issue raised in the present appeals is covered by the decision in ***LPA-2356-2001 titled as Sukhbir Singh @ Satbir Singh Versus State of Haryana and others, decided on 03.08.2005*** and the present appeals be also disposed of in the same terms.

4. Learned counsel for the respondents has not been able to dispute the said fact.

5. Keeping in view the above, the present appeals are also disposed of in the same terms as in ***Sukhbir Singh @ Satbir Singh's*** case (supra)

6. Civil miscellaneous application pending, if any, is also disposed of.

7. A photocopy of this order be placed on the files of the connected cases.

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

(DEEPAK MANCHANDA)
JUDGE

18.05.2026
Sandeep

Whether Speaking/Reasoned :	Yes
Whether Reportable :	No