



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

(229)

**CRM-M-15186-2026
DATE OF DECISION:16.04.2026**

Karamvir

.....Petitioner

VERSUS

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

CORAM HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUBHAS MEHLA

Present Mr.Sarfra Hussain, Advocate,
and Mr. Akash Sheoran, Advocate, for the petitioner.
Mr. Surender Singh Pannu, Addl. AG, Haryana.

SUBHAS MEHLA, J (ORAL)

1. By way of present petition, the petitioner is seeking regular bail in FIR No.211 dated 17.12.2025, under Sections 190, 191(2), 305, 324(4), 333, 351(3) of BNS, 2023, registered at Police Station Sadar Sohna, Gurugram, Haryana.

2. Brief facts of the case are that on 16.12.2025 at around 7:20 PM, petitioner Karamvir along with co-accused carrying lathis, danda and farsa, attacked the complainant's family broke open the lock of shop of his uncle Hansraj, looted about ₹10,000 and valuables therefrom; damaged various articles and CCTV cameras; they also took away some of the CCTV cameras with them, and later on entered into three houses belonging to complainant's party and damaged the doors, windows, washing machines, Swift Car and two motor-cycles. Before leaving, all the accused persons threatened to kill the entire family of the complainant and his uncle.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that petitioner is in custody since 20.12.2025; that investigation qua him has already been

**CRM-M-15186-2026****2**

completed; that offences are triable by Magistrate and that co-accused Om Kumar @ Omkar has already been granted the concession of regular bail by this Court vide order dated 10.03.2026, passed in **CRM-M-1371-2026** and the case of the present petitioner is on the same footing to that of petitioner, hence, prayer for grant of regular bail to the petitioner is made.

4. Notice of motion.

5. In pursuance of advance notice, Mr. Surender Singh Pannu, Addl. AG, Haryana, appeared and filed Status Report by way of affidavit of Jitender, HPS, Assistant Commissioner of Police Sohna, Gurugram, along with custody certificate of the petitioner, on behalf of respondent no.1/State, same are taken on record. Learned counsel submits that the present petitioner is having criminal antecedents as one more case is pending against him, however, it is not disputed that he is on bail in that case and also admitted that offences in the present case are triable by Magistrate and investigation has already been completed.

6. Heard.

7. Taking into consideration the contentions of the learned counsel for the parties and facts and circumstances of the case that the investigation qua the present petitioner has already been completed; that he is in custody for the last more than three months; that co-accused has already been released on regular bail in this case and no fruitful purpose would be served by keeping him behind the bar, as concession of bail cannot be denied just as measure of punishment as culpability of the accused will be decided at the final stage by the trial Court after appreciating the evidences adduced by both sides and it is trite principle of criminal jurisprudence that bail is rule,



CRM-M-15186-2026 3

jail is an exception, this Court deems it a fit case to grant the concession of regular bail to the petitioner.

8. Therefore, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the instant petition is **allowed**. The petitioner is ordered to be released on regular bail on his furnishing requisite bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate/Chief Judicial Magistrate concerned.

16.04.2026 (SUBHAS MEHLA)
mamta JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No