



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(216)

RSA-4071-2000 (O&M)**Date of Decision:-23.04.2026**

**KEWAL NATH (SINCE DECEASED) THROUGH HIS LRS AND
OTHERS**

... Appellants

Versus

MANDIR SHRI SHIVALA BHOLO NATH

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIRINDER AGGARWAL

Present: Mr. Ashok Sharma Nabhewala, Advocate,
Ms. Gauri Sharma, Advocate
for appellants No.2 to 5.

None for the respondent.

VIRINDER AGGARWAL, J. (Oral)

1. On the previous date, learned counsel for the appellants No.2 to 5 has submitted that they are not in touch with the appellants No.2 to 5. So, notice was issued to the appellants No.2 to 5. Appellants No.3 and 4 are served but none has appeared on their behalf. Appellants No.2 to 5 are reported to be dead but no legal heir of the appellants No.2 to 5 have come forward to get themselves impleaded. It seems that parties have lost interest in pursuing the appeal.

2. In such circumstances, no useful purpose would be served by keeping the case on the docket, indefinitely.

3. Accordingly, the instant appeal stands dismissed for want of prosecution.

4. As a natural corollary, since the main case stand dismissed for want of prosecution of, all pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of, as no fruitful purpose would be served by keeping them pending.

23rd April, 2026
Poonam

(VIRINDER AGGARWAL)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned / speaking?
Whether reportable?

Yes / No
Yes / No