



234/2

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH****CRR-1946-2006(O&M)****Date of Decision: 21.04.2026**

Suraj Bhan

...Petitioner

vs.

State of Haryana and another

...Respondents

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice N.S.Shekhawat**Present :** Mr. Aditya Sanghi, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Parmod Kumar, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. Sarthak, Advocate,
for respondent No.2.

N.S.Shekhawat J. (Oral)

Learned State counsel as well as learned counsel for respondent No.2 submit that respondent No.2 has expired. Consequently, the present revision petition has been rendered infructuous.

Dismissed as having been rendered infructuous.

Pending application, if any, also stands disposed of.

21.04.2026

hemlata

**(N.S.SHEKHAWAT)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No