



CRM-M-14688-2026 (O&M)

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

203

CRM-M-14688-2026 (O&M)

Date of decision: 27.04.2026

REENA

PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

RESPONDENT

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE SHALINI SINGH NAGPAL

Present: Mr. D.P.S. Bajwa, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Kshitij Bharti, AAG Haryana.

Shalini Singh Nagpal, J. (Oral)

1. Petitioner seeks anticipatory bail in case vide FIR No.17 dated 16.01.2026 under Sections 137(2), 127(3), 3(5) of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita (B.N.S.S.), 2023, Sections 8 and 18 of The Protection of Children from Sexual Offences (POCSO) Act, 2012, Police Station Uchana, District Jind. This is the first petition for anticipatory bail.

2. On 18.03.2026, following order was passed:-

Petitioner seeks anticipatory bail in case arising out of FIR No.17 dated 16.01.2026, under Sections 137(2), 127(3), 3(5) of BNSS (360, 343, 34) and 8 and 18 of POCSO Act, Police Station Uchana, District Jind. This is the first petition for anticipatory bail.

The case was registered on complaint of father of the victim who reported that his daughter 'E' aged 17 years went missing from the house on 15.01.2026 at 2:00 p.m. and could not be traced. Later, the prosecutrix was recovered and her statement under Section 183 BNSS was recorded. Prosecutrix alleged therein that on 15.01.2026 at about 2:30 p.m. she called Mohit with whom she had been chatting on Instagram and mobile phone and she went to meet him near the Temple where the petitioner forced her on to the bike of



Mohit and they both took her to Patra, where she was kept for three days.

Learned counsel submits that the main accused i.e. Mohit who was a juvenile has since been enlarged on anticipatory bail and the petitioner had been falsely implicated. She had no role in the commission of offence. No offence under Sections 8 and 18 of POCSO Act was made and even if statement of the prosecutrix is believed. The petitioner who had clean antecedents was ready and willing to join investigation.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Kunwarbir Singh, AAG Punjab, who is present in the Court accepts notice on behalf of respondent-State and opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail.

In the given facts and circumstances of the case, in the event of arrest, petitioner shall be released on interim anticipatory bail subject to her furnishing personal and surety bonds to the satisfaction of the Arresting/Investigating Officer. Petitioner shall join investigation as and when called upon to do so and shall abide by the conditions as envisaged under Section 482(2) of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023.

Status report in the matter be filed on 27.04.2026.

Notice to the victim/complainant be also issued for the adjourned date. Amended memo of parties be filed in the Registry, impleading the victim/complainant as respondent.

3. Learned State counsel concedes that petitioner had joined investigation and further custodial interrogation of the petitioner is not required. Notice has been served to respondents No.2 and 3, however, none has appeared.

4. Considering the entire facts and circumstances of the case, as enumerated above, but without commenting on merits, order dated 18.03.2026, granting interim anticipatory bail to the petitioner is made



CRM-M-14688-2026 (O&M)

absolute, subject to conditions laid down in Section 482(2) Bhartiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023.

5. All the pending miscellaneous applications, if any, stand disposed of.

(SHALINI SINGH NAGPAL)
JUDGE

27.04.2026
HS.CHAUHAN

Whether Speaking/Reasoned :	Yes/No
Whether Reportable :	Yes/No