

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

COCN No. 1323 of 2019

Date of Decision: 29.08.2019

Dr. Raj Kumar Yadav

.....Petitioner

Versus

A. Sreenivas and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN

Present: Mr. K.L. Arora, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Sandeep S. Mann, Sr. DAG Haryana.

AVNEESH JHINGAN, J.(oral)

This contempt petition has been filed pleading wilful disobedience of order dated 21.3.2016 passed in CWP No. 21856 of 2014.

The operational part of the order is reproduced below:

"In view of the above discussion, the impugned order dated 15.09.2014 (Annexure P-9) is quashed and the respondent-department is directed to recalculate and release the pension and other pensionary benefits to the petitioner by reckoning/considering the service rendered by him in the first college i.e. Nehru College, Ajrona, Faridabad and gave him appropriate calculations to refund the amount as per his undertaking (Annexure R-1). This process be completed within three months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order."

Reply by way of affidavit of Mr. A.Sreenivas, Director Higher Education, Haryana Panchkula, has been filed today in Court. Copy given to counsel for the petitioner.

Learned State counsel on instructions from Mr. Kanwaljit Singh, CAO, o/o Chief Accounts Officer, submits that re-calculation of the pension and pensionary benefits has been done and that amount would be released within four weeks from today.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that detailed calculation have not been provided.

Learned State counsel submits that the calculation will be provided within four weeks from today.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that in case there is any grievance with regard to calculation of the amount and pensionary benefits he may be permitted to avail remedy in accordance with law.

In view of above, the contempt petition is disposed of with liberty as prayed for. However, the respondents are directed to release all the monetary benefits within eight weeks from today and in case of failure to do so, the petitioner would be at liberty to revive the contempt petition. In case the petitioner is forced to do so, costs may be imposed which would be recoverable from the defaulting officer/official.

(AVNEESH JHINGAN)
JUDGE

29.08.2019
reema

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes
Whether Reportable:	No