



122 **IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-2963-2026 (O&M)
Date of Decision : 25-03-2026

PARGAT SINGH ALIAS MANDEEP ALIAS DEEP

.....Petitioner

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

.....Respondent(s)

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS SURI**

Present: Mr. Amandeep Singh Rai, Advocate with
 Ms. Sunaina Rani, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Rahul Rampal, Addl. A.G., Punjab.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J. (Oral)

1. Present petition has been filed under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India read with Section 3(1)(d) of the Punjab Good Conduct Prisoners (Temporary Release) Act, 1962 for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus for directing the respondents to grant 15 days parole to the petitioner on account of eye operation of his mother.

2. After arguing for sometime, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the present criminal writ petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further with liberty to file an application to the authorities concerned for the grant of parole.

3. Learned counsel for the respondent-State submits that in case any such application is received at the hands of the petitioner, the same shall

be decided within a period of **4 weeks** from the receipt of copy of this order by passing a speaking order and in case, the claim of the petitioner is found feasible, the same will be accepted otherwise, due reasons will be mentioned for not accepting the claim of the petitioner, which will be conveyed to the petitioner for his information and necessary action.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that keeping in view the statement of the learned counsel for the respondent-State, the present criminal writ petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further with liberty as prayed for.

5. Ordered accordingly.

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

25-03-2026
Sapna Goyal

(VIKAS SURI)
JUDGE

NOTE: Whether speaking/reasoned : YES
Whether reportable : NO