



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

117

CWP-8020-2026

Date of Decision: 28.04.2026

REHDI MAJDOOR UNION KHOSA PUNJAB

...Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present:- Mr. K. S. Hissowal, Advocate for petitioner

Mr. Ishan Kaushal, AAG, Punjab

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioner through instant petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India is seeking direction to respondent to consider their representation dated 13.01.2026 whereby they have requested respondent to frame rules regarding use of motorcycle rehdis which are used by them to earn their daily livelihood.

2. The petitioner is a Union of Rehdi Majdoor. There are 200 members who are operating motorcycle rehdi for transporting goods as per demand of their customer. They are alleging that Police authorities are harassing them and confiscating their vehicles. They have made representations to authorities seeking direction to police department not to harass them. They have prayed to respondent to frame rules for motorcycle



rehdis. They are ready to pay fixed charges/tax to avoid constant tension. They have made representation dated 13.01.2026 to Governor of Punjab but to no avail.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that members of the Union are poor rehdi majdoors. They have made representation to authorities but to no avail.

4. The members of the Union are using motorcycle as goods transporting vehicle. In the absence of amendment in Motor Vehicle Act, 1988, they cannot be permitted to use motorcycle for transporting goods. The amendment in Motor Vehicle Act can be carried out by Legislature. This Court cannot ask State Government or Central Government to carry out amendment in the Legislature.

5. Disposed of.

6. Pending Misc. application(s), if any, shall stand disposed of.

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

28.04.2026
SDK

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No