



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

205

RSA-1640-1998 (O&M)
Date of Decision:-27.01.2026

Surti Devi and ors.Appellants
Versus

Urmil Anand and ors.Respondents

CORAM: HON’BLE MR. JUSTICE AMARINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present: Mr. Saket Bhandari, Advocate, for the appellants.

None for the respondents.

AMARINDER SINGH GREWAL, J. (Oral)

1. A perusal of the office report shows that notice could not be issued to the respondents in compliance of the order dated 07.03.2025 for want of correct/complete address.
2. Today, learned counsel for the appellants submits that he has no instruction in the matter as appellants are not in touch with him.
2. In view of the above and considering the fact that the present appeal pertains to the year 1998, no useful purpose would be served by keeping the matter pending. Accordingly, the present appeal is dismissed for non-prosecution, with liberty to the appellants to seek revival of the same, if so advised, as per law.
4. Pending applications, if any, shall stand disposed of.

(AMARINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

27.01.2026
anil

Whether speaking/reasoned:-	Yes/No
Whether Reportable:-	Yes/No