



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

222

RSA-3640-2000 (O&M)

Date of Decision:-24.02.2026

Darshna Rani Jain and others

.....Appellants

Versus

Surinder Mohan and Others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMARINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present: None for the appellants.

Ms. Prerana Yadav, Advocate,
for the respondent No.1.

AMARINDER SINGH GREWAL, J. (Oral)

1. On 01.09.2025, Sh. Vikas Kumar Sharma, Advocate, had appeared on behalf of the appellants and pleaded no instructions and accordingly notices were ordered to be issued to the appellants for 08.01.2026, on which date also there was no representation on behalf of appellants. As per office report, notice issued to appellants No.1 to 3, 6, 7 and 10 has been received back with the report that their house was found locked and appellants No.5, 9, 11 and 12 could not be served for want of correct/complete address. Today, no one has put in appearance on behalf of appellants.

2. In view of the above, and considering the fact that the present appeal pertains to the year 2000, no useful purpose would be served by keeping the matter pending. Accordingly, the present appeal is dismissed for non-prosecution, with liberty to the appellants to seek revival of the same, if so advised, as per law.



3. Pending applications, if any, shall stand disposed of.

(AMARINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

24.02.2026
anil

Whether speaking/reasoned:-	Yes/No
Whether Reportable:-	Yes/No