



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

250

CRM-M-14700-2026
Date of decision: 24.04.2026

LOVEPREET SINGH @ MAANPetitioner

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJABRespondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD S. BHARDWAJ

Present: - Ms. Keerat Simran, Advocate for the petitioner.
Dr. (Ms.) Savi Nagpal, Asst. A.G. Punjab.

VINOD S. BHARDWAJ, J. (Oral)

1. The instant first petition has been filed under Section 483 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, for the grant of regular bail in case bearing FIR No. 311 dated 23.11.2025, registered under Section(s) 21, 27-A and 29 of the Narcotic Drugs & Psychotropic Substances Act, 1985 at Police Station Sector Jandiala, District Amritsar Rural.
2. Learned Counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner contends that present case pertains to recovery of 12 grams of Heroin, Rs. 400 in currency note and one electric weight scale from the possession of the petitioner During the investigation, petitioner suffered a disclosure statement that he had purchased the recovered heroin from Jagjit Singh @ Kala and

Rajwinder Singh @ Rajbir Singh @ Raju and accordingly they both were nominated as additional accused vide Rapat No. 21 dated 24.11.2025. She contends that the petitioner was taken in custody on 23.11.2025 and has been in custody since then. She further contends that the petitioner has clean antecedents and is not involved in any other criminal case. The investigation in the case is not complete and final report is yet to be filed, hence, the conclusion of trial shall take long time. She further contends that the recovered quantity is an intermediate quantity and the continued custodial detention of the petitioner will not sub serve any larger public interest.

3. Learned State Counsel does not dispute the quantum of recovery effected as well as the date of arrest or the fact that investigation in the case has yet not completed.

4. I have heard learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respective parties and have gone through the documents appended alongwith the present petition.

5. Without commenting on the merits of the present case and taking into consideration the nature of recovery effected from the conscious possession of the petitioner, the period of actual custody undergone, his clean antecedents and the fact that the investigation has yet not been completed in the present case, hence, conclusion of trial shall take long time, I deem it appropriate to enlarge the petitioner on regular bail to the satisfaction of the trial Court.

6. The instant petition is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be released on regular bail on his furnishing requisite bail bond/surety bond to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate, concerned.

7. It is made clear that the petitioner shall not extend any threat and shall not influence any prosecution witnesses in any manner directly or indirectly.
8. The observation made hereinabove shall not be construed as an expression on the merits of the case and the Trial Court shall decide the case on the basis of available material.

APRIL 24, 2026
Vishal Sharma

(VINOD S. BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	:	Yes/No