

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

2026.PHHC:017033



(205)

RSA-1594-1998 (O&M)

Date of Decision:-05.02.2026

HARKISHAN AND OTHERS

... Appellants

Versus

RAGHBIR AND OTHERS

... Respondents

-:-

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIRINDER AGGARWAL

Present: Ms. Perna Aggarwal, Advocate, for
Mr. Kulvir Narwal, Advocate,
for the appellants.

Mr. Devesh Nehra, Advocate,
for respondents No.2 to 6.

VIRINDER AGGARWAL, J. (Oral)

1. Counsel for the appellants submitted that they have no instructions on behalf of the appellant inspite of repeatedly contacting the appellants, so, considering the fact that this regular second appeal has arisen out of a suit for permanent injunction and appellants are not interested in pursuing the appeal, no useful purpose would be served by keeping the instant appeal on the docket, indefinitely.

2. Accordingly, the instant appeal stands dismissed for want of prosecution with liberty to the appellant to revive the same, if have any grievance from this order, within a period of three months from today.

3. As a natural corollary, since the main case stands dismissed for want of prosecution, all miscellaneous application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of, as no fruitful purpose would be served by keeping them .

05th February, 2026

S. Pathania

(VIRINDER AGGARWAL)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned / speaking?
Whether reportable?

Yes / No
Yes / No