

**CRM-M-31179-2018****1****129+328****IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH****CRM-M-31179-2018****Gautam Patel****.....Petitioner****Versus****State of Punjab and another****.....Respondents****CRM-M-31576-2018****Vani Kola****.....Petitioner****Versus****State of Punjab and another****.....Respondent****CRM-M-31821-2018****Rajiv Indur Dadlani****.....Petitioner****Versus****State of Punjab and another****.....Respondents****Decided on: 15.01.2026****CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH****Present: Mr. Anurag Arora, Advocate
for the petitioner(s) (in CRM-M-31179-2018).****Mr. J.S. Mehndiratta, Sr. Advocate with
Mr. Kanwarnoor Singh Sethi, Advocate and
Ms. Tanveen Kaur, Advocate
for the petitioner(s) (in CRM-M-31576-2018).****Mr. Gaurav Chopra, Sr. Advocate with
Mr. Darika Sikka, Advocate
for the petitioner(s) (in CRM-M-31821-2018).**



CRM-M-31179-2018

2

Mr. Deepender Singh , Addl. A.G. Punjab with
Mr. Neeraj Madaan, Sr. DAG Punjab

Mr. Harpreet Singh Multani, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

SANJAY VASHISTH, J. (ORAL)

1. By way of this common order, all the above-mentioned petitions are being disposed of.
2. Comprehensive reply by way of an affidavit of Sh. Rajinder Singh, PPS, Deputy Superintendent of Police, State Crime, Punjab, SAS Nagar has been filed in the Court today. The same is taken on record.
3. From the said reply, paragraphs No. 13 and 14 are reproduced as under:-

“13. That it is relevant to mention here that Ms. Vani Kola, has never been nominated as accused in the aforesaid case FIR No. 02/2018 she had already ceased to be the Additional Director of the said company on 14.04.2016 way before the aforesaid Agreement was executed between both the parties.

14. That in addition to above, Ms. Galina Shifina (Additional Director), Gautam Patel (Additional Director), Dham Vinod Kumar (Additional Director), Rajiv Kumar Dadlani (Nominee Director), Annur Rajagopal Sekar (Company Secretary), Nupur Menon wife of Arun Menon and Rattanlal Ranasoria (Chief Financial Officer). It is pertinent to mention here that the aforesaid persons were nominated as co-accused in the present case FIR. However, during investigation relevant record(s) from the ROC, Mumbai and Shareholders Agreement were examined and it was revealed that none of the Additional/Nominee Directors or employees of the company were actively involved in day to day running of Apps Daily Solutions Pvt. Ltd. and neither were they active part of administrative setup of the



CRM-M-31179-2018

3

company. In addition to this, it has also been revealed that they had no role to play in the aforesaid Agreement signed between both the companies and no financial transactions could be traced to their accounts from the said amount of the total Rs. 3 Crores 25 lakhs transferred by the complainant in the accounts of Apps Daily Solutions Pvt. Ltd.”

4. Regarding Ms. Vani Kola (petitioner in CRM-M-31576-2018), it is also pointed out that infact she was never found involved in the case as accused.

5. In view of the explanation given in the reply and having reproduced the relevant part hereinabove, there is no point in continuing with the proceedings in the aforementioned petitions filed by the said accused. However, it will be open for the complainant/victim to have their say in case cancellation report is filed before the Magistrate concerned.

6. All the aforementioned petitions having been rendered infructuous are disposed of.

7. A photocopy of this order be placed on the files of other connected cases.

15.01.2026
reena

(SANJAY VASHISTH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
Whether Reportable: Yes/No