



LPA-780-2026 (O&M)

-1-

113

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**LPA-780-2026 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 27.04.2026**

Brij Mohan Singh

.... Appellant

Versus

State of Punjab and another

..... Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASGURPREET SINGH PURI
HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE AMARJOT BHATTI**

Present: Mr. Baljeet Singh Sidhu, Advocate,
for the appellant.

JASGURPREET SINGH PURI, J. (ORAL)

1. The present intra-court appeal has been filed by way of Letters Patent Appeal seeking to challenge the order dated 05.03.2026 passed by learned Single Judge in CWP-25709-2025.

2. Learned counsel for the appellant has submitted that the appellant attained the age of superannuation on 30.04.2025 and had applied for a one-year extension, which was rejected. The grievance raised by the appellant before learned Single Judge pertains to the respondents' refusal to grant the said extension. He further submitted that the respondents had taken a plea before learned Single Judge that various complaints were pending against the appellant, which was cited as the reason for denying the extension.

3. Learned counsel further submitted that vide impugned order dated 05.03.2026, learned Single Judge adjourned the matter to 25.03.2026, granting a last opportunity to the State to comply with the order dated 14.10.2025. He submitted that although the aforesaid order dated 14.10.2025 has not been annexed to the present appeal but as per the aforesaid order, learned State

Counsel had sought time before learned Single Judge to apprise the decision taken by the competent authority on the complaints against the appellant and with a direction to file a short affidavit in this regard. Learned Single Judge was also apprised that the appellant had not received salary for the months of March and April, 2025 and learned State Counsel sought time to seek instructions thereon. He further submitted that the matter is now adjourned to 21.08.2026. He submitted that since the aforesaid one-year period is scheduled to expire on 30.04.2026, a direction may be issued to the learned Single Judge to decide the case expeditiously.

4. We have heard learned counsel for the appellant.

5. By way of the present intra-court appeal, the appellant seeks to challenge the order dated 05.03.2026 passed by learned Single Judge whereby the matter was adjourned to 25.03.2026. The impugned order dated 05.03.2026 reads as under:-

“The learned State counsel seeks more time to comply with the order dated 14.10.2025.

Last opportunity is granted to the State to comply with the order dated 14.10.2025.

Adjourned to 25.03.2026.”

6. The writ petition is still pending before learned Single Judge and from time to time various orders have been passed therein. The grievance of the appellant is that the one-year extension sought by him is scheduled to expire on 30.04.2026. Today being 27.04.2026, only three days remain.

7. This Court is of the considered view that no such appeal would be entertainable against the inter-locutory order which was passed by learned Single Judge. The fact that only three days remain for the completion of the one-year period, for which extension was never granted to the appellant and he

having already attained the age of superannuation on 30.04.2025 renders the present appeal devoid of merit and non-entertainable. The same is, therefore, dismissed.

(JASGURPREET SINGH PURI)
JUDGE

27.04.2026
Bhumika

(AMARJOT BHATTI)
JUDGE

- | | |
|-------------------------------|--------|
| 1. Whether speaking/reasoned: | Yes/No |
| 2. Whether reportable: | Yes/No |