



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

169

CRM-M-14883-2026

Date of decision : 18.03.2026

LI DIGITAL PAYMENTS PVT LTD AND OTHERS

..... PETITIONERS

VERSUS

KAMALPREET KAUR AND OTHERS

..... RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURYA PARTAP SINGH

Present : Mr. Ashwani Kumar Antil, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Rahul Vats, Advocate
for the respondent No.2.

Mr. Ramender Singh Chauhan, AAG, Haryana.

SURYA PARTAP SINGH. J.(Oral)

1. This petition seeking for quashing of complaint has been filed on two grounds. The first ground is the compromise between the parties, and as per compromise payment has been made by the petitioners to the complainant, i.e. respondent No.1, but despite receipt of money the respondent No.1/complainant is pursuing the complaint. The second plea is for quashing the order dated 12.08.2025, whereby the petitioners were declared proclaimed persons.

2. Mr. Rahul Vats, Advocate appears on behalf of respondent No.2. He has filed Power of Attorney. The same be taken on record.

3. At the out-set the learned counsel for the petitioners has not pressed the first prayer, and therefore, qua the above-mentioned prayer the present petition stands dismissed.

4. As far as the second prayer is concerned, it has been contended by learned counsel for the petitioners, that the petitioners were never served with any notice with regard to the complaint under Section 138 of Negotiable Instruments Act. It has also been contended that they never violated any condition of the bail.

5. In view of above-mentioned contentions, it is hereby observed that the offence for which the petitioners have been declared proclaimed persons still remains a bailable offence. Hence, the petitioners have got a right to appear before the Court and seek bail.

6. With the above-mentioned observations, the present petition is hereby disposed of, accordingly.

(SURYA PARTAP SINGH)
JUDGE

18.03.2026

vipin

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes
Whether Reportable	:	No