



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(210)

RSA-3126-2000 (O&M)
Date of Decision:-07.04.2026

BALDEV SINGH ... Appellant
Versus

GURBACHAN SINGH AND OTHERS ...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIRINDER AGGARWAL

Present: Ms. Ravinder Kaur, Advocate
for Mr. Gurcharan Dass, Advocate
for the appellant.

None for the respondents.

VIRINDER AGGARWAL, J. (Oral)

1. The order dated 04.02.2026 remained non-complied. Even the cost has not been deposited. Appeal cannot proceed further for want of addresses of respondents No.1 to 3.
2. In such circumstances, no useful purpose would be served by keeping the instant appeal on the docket, indefinitely.
3. Accordingly, the instant appeal stand dismissed for want of prosecution.
4. As a natural corollary, since the main case stands dismissed for want of prosecution of, all pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of, as no fruitful purpose would be served by keeping them pending.

07th April, 2026
Poonam

(VIRINDER AGGARWAL)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned / speaking?
Whether reportable?

Yes / No
Yes / No

