



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(213) RSA-3083-2000 (O&M)
RSA-4778-2001 (O&M)
RSA-677-2002 (O&M)
RSA-4727-2001 (O&M)
Date of Decision:-06.05.2026

1.RSA-3083-2000
JIWAN RAM ... Appellant

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS ...Respondents

2. RSA-4778-2001
LACHHMAN SINGH ... Appellant

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS ...Respondents

3.RSA-677-2002
UMAR DEEN AND ANOTHER ... Appellants

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS ...Respondents

4.RSA-677-2002
FAKHRU DIN ... Appellant

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS ...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIRINDER AGGARWAL

Present: None for the appellants.

Mr. Ved Parkash, Sr. DAG, Haryana.

VIRINDER AGGARWAL, J. (Oral)

1. None is present for the appellants. On the previous date also, none appeared on behalf of the appellants. Even intimation was sent to the learned counsel for the appellants but none has put in appearance. These are the appeals arising out of a suit for permanent injunction instituted in the year 1992. It seems that appellants have lost interest in pursuing the appeals.
2. In such circumstances, no useful purpose would be served by keeping the cases on the docket, indefinitely.

RSA-3083-2000 (O&M)
RSA-4778-2001 (O&M)
RSA-677-2002 (O&M)
RSA-4727-2001 (O&M)



3. Accordingly, the instant appeals stand dismissed for want of prosecution.
4. As a natural corollary, since the main cases stand dismissed for want of prosecution of, all pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of, as no fruitful purpose would be served by keeping them pending.
5. A photocopy of this order shall also be placed on the files of the connected cases.

06th May, 2026
Poonam

(VIRINDER AGGARWAL)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned / speaking?	Yes / No
Whether reportable?	Yes / No