



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

124

CWP-7836-2026

Date of decision : 16.03.2026

Pooja Rani

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NAMIT KUMAR

Present: Mr. Jaswinder Singh Rana, Advocate for the petitioner.

NAMIT KUMAR J. (Oral)

1. The present petition has been filed by the petitioner under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, seeking issuance of a writ of mandamus, directing the respondents to grant the petitioner statutory pay scale as mandated in the 2018 Rules viz. 10300-34800 + Grade Pay of Rs.5000/- from the date of entry into service along with arrears and interest thereon @ 12% per annum in view of judgment passed by this Court in ***CWP-15869-2023 titled as 'Saurabh Sharma and others Vs. State of Punjab and another'*** and other connected cases decided on 13.09.2024 (Annexure P-24) which was upheld by the Division Bench of this Court in ***LPA-2977-2024 titled as 'State of Punjab and another Vs. Dr. Saurabh Sharma and others'*** decided on 10.12.2024 (Annexure P-25) and by Hon'ble Supreme Court in ***Special Leave to Appeal (C) No.1158-2025 titled as 'The State of Punjab and another Vs. Saurabh Sharma and others' decided on 20.01.2025*** (Annexure P-26), and to take into account the abovesaid pay scale for further pay revisions. Further, seeking issuance of a writ of certiorari, quashing the instructions dated 17.07.2020 & 21.10.2020 (Annexures P-5 & P-7) and order dated 31.03.2022 (Annexure P-13) to the extent it places the petitioner in the wrong pay scale of Rs.35400/- (consolidated).

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that for redressal of her grievances, the petitioner has also submitted representation dated 18.02.2025 (Annexure P-28) before the respondents which is still



CWP-7836-2026

-2-

pending consideration. He further submits that at this stage, the petitioner would be satisfied, if appropriate directions are issued to the respondents to consider and decide the said representation, by passing a speaking order, in a time bound manner.

- 3. Notice of motion.
- 4. Mr. N.P.S. Hira, D.A.G., Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents-State. He has no objection to the innocuous prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioner.
- 5. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and have gone through the record of the case.
- 6. Without going into the merits of the case at this stage, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.5 to consider and decide representation dated 18.02.2025 (Annexure P-28) submitted by the petitioner expeditiously, by passing a speaking order after affording an opportunity of hearing to the petitioner, preferably within a period of 03 weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order. Further, the decision taken thereon shall be conveyed to the petitioner.

16.03.2026
kothiyal

(NAMIT KUMAR)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No