2026:PHHC:021112

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

(218) RSA-2467-2000 (O&M)
Date of Decision:-11.02.2026

JINDER SINGH@ JOGINDER SINGH … Appellant

Versus

GRAM PANCHYAT AND ANOTHER

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIRINDER AGGARWAL

Present: Mr. Vishal Aggarwal, Advocate
for appellant.

****

VIRINDER AGGARWAL, J. (Oral)

1. Counsel for the appellant submitted that appellant is not in touch with him. Notice was issued to the appellant which has been received back served but even then, appellant has not contacted the counsel and instructed the counsel to address arguments. This is an appeal arising out of suit for permanent injunction and pending since more than 25 years. It seems that appellant has lost interest in the matter.

2. In such circumstances, no useful purpose would be served by keeping the instant appeal on the docket, indefinitely.

3. Accordingly, the instant appeal stands dismissed with liberty to the appellant to revive the same, if have any grievance from this order, within a period of three months from today.

4. As a natural corollary, since the main case stands dismissed for want of prosecution of, all pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of, as no fruitful purpose would be served by keeping them pending.

(VIRINDER AGGARWAL)
JUDGE

11th February, 2026
Poonam

Whether reasoned / speaking? Yes / No
Whether reportable? *Yes / No*

POONAM
2026.02.12 22:17
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document