



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(216)

RSA-2435-2000 (O&M)
Date of Decision:-12.03.2026

ROHTASH

... Appellant

Versus

SHAYAM LAL ARYA

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIRINDER AGGARWAL

Present: None for the appellant.

Mr. Saarib Aggarwal, Advocate
for the respondent.

VIRINDER AGGARWAL, J. (Oral)

1. Notice was issued to the sole appellant as counsel appearing for the appellant has submitted that he is not in contact with the appellant and notice has been received back unserved with the report of incomplete address. Today also, none appeared for the appellant. It seems that appellant has lost interest in the matter.

2. In such circumstances, no useful purpose would be served by keeping the instant appeal on the docket, indefinitely.

3. Accordingly, the instant appeal stands dismissed.

4. As a natural corollary, since the main case stands dismissed for want of prosecution of, all pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of, as no fruitful purpose would be served by keeping them pending.

12th March, 2026
Poonam

(VIRINDER AGGARWAL)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned / speaking?
Whether reportable?

Yes / No
Yes / No