



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

209

CRM-M-14582-2026

Date of Decision: 06.04.2026

VINOD @ GOLU

....PETITIONER

Vs.

STATE OF HARYANA

.....RESPONDENT

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE NEERJA K. KALSON

Present:- Mr. Amit Choudhary, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Atul Gaur, AAG, Haryana.

NEERJA K. KALSON, J.

1. The present petition has been filed under Section 483 of the Bhartiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanita, 2023 (Section 439 Cr.P.C) seeking grant of regular bail to the petitioner in case bearing FIR No.193 dated 10.08.2025, under Sections 96 and 137 of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023, registered at Police Station Uklana, District Hisar (Annexure P-1).
2. The petitioner is being prosecuted for having allegedly enticed the complainant's minor daughter on the pretext of marrying her.
3. Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner, a 23-year-old, has been falsely implicated, as he and the complainant's daughter were in a consensual relationship, which was not acceptable to the complainant. It is further submitted that at the time of the alleged occurrence, the victim was approximately 17 years and 11 months old, being on the verge of attaining majority and possessing sufficient maturity and understanding. It is



further submitted that the victim had voluntarily accompanied the petitioner and has consistently maintained that she was in a love relationship with him.

4. It is further submitted that in her statement recorded under Section 164 Cr.P.C., the victim categorically stated that she voluntarily accompanied the petitioner, that no sexual relations took place between them, and that she intends to solemnize marriage with him. It is also submitted that the prosecutrix in her medical examination stated before the doctor that no illicit or sexual act had taken place, learned counsel further submits that the medical report also does not reveal any evidence suggestive of sexual intercourse. It is further submitted that both the petitioner and the victim had earlier approached this Court by way of CRWP No. 9647 of 2025 seeking protection of their life and liberty, pursuant to which the victim initially residing at Ashiana, Chandigarh, and is presently states to be residing with the parents of the petitioner, which *prima facie* reflects the consensual nature of the relationship and negates any criminal intent on the part of the petitioner.

5. Learned State counsel opposes the grant of bail to the petitioner on the ground that he has enticed a minor girl.

6. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

7. The petitioner is a young boy aged 23 years; he has been in custody since 07.11.2025; there is no other criminal case that the petitioner faces; the investigation in the case is complete; the alleged victim has appeared before the Magistrate and stated that the petitioner did not entice her and that he is innocent; no recovery is to be effected from the petitioner; there is no



allegation of any sexual assault by the petitioner; and the trial is likely to take a long time to conclude.

8. In view of above, the present petition is allowed. Petitioner is ordered to be released on regular bail on his furnishing bail/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the learned concerned CJM/Duty Magistrate. However, in addition to conditions that may be imposed by the concerned CJM/Duty Magistrate, the petitioner shall remain bound by the following conditions:-

- (i) The petitioner shall not mis-use the liberty granted.
- (ii) The petitioner shall not tamper with any evidence, oral or documentary, during the trial.
- (iii) The petitioner shall not absent himself on any date before the trial.
- (iv) The petitioner shall not commit any offence while on bail.
- (v) The petitioner shall deposit his passport, if any, with the trial Court.
- (vi) The petitioner shall give his cell-phone number to the Investigating Officer/SHO of concerned Police Station and shall not change his cell-phone number without prior permission of the trial Court/Illaqa Magistrate.
- (vii) The petitioner shall not in any manner try to delay the trial.

9. In case of breach of any of the aforesaid conditions and those which may be imposed by concerned CJM/Duty Magistrate as directed hereinabove or upon showing any other sufficient cause, the State/complainant shall be at liberty to move cancellation of bail of the petitioner.

10. Ordered accordingly.

11. Nothing said hereinabove shall be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.
12. Since the main case has been decided, pending miscellaneous application, if any, shall also stands disposed of.

(NEERJA K. KALSON)
JUDGE

06.04.2026
pry

Whether Speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No