

2026PHHC:043384



**143 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP-7752-2026 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 16.03.2026**

Tilak Raj

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSH BUNGER

Present: Mr. Sandeep Arora, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Harpreet Singh, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. Sukhjinder Singh, Advocate
for respondent No.6.

HARSH BUNGER J. (ORAL)

Petition herein is, *inter alia*, seeking a writ in the nature of Mandamus, directing official respondents No.1 to 6 to take legal action against private respondents No.7 and 8, who are alleged to have encroached upon the panchayat land.

2. At this stage, Mr. Harpreet Singh, Assistant Advocate General, Punjab, and Mr. Sukhjinder Singh, Advocate, appear on behalf of the respondent-State and respondent No.6, respectively, in pursuance of the advance copies of paper book having already been supplied to them. The Memorandum of Appearance of Mr. Sukhjinder Singh, Advocate, filed on behalf of respondent No.6 in Court today, is taken on record, subject to all just exceptions.

3. Learned State counsel, on instructions from Mr. Lakhwinder Singh Kaler, Block Development and Panchayat Officer, Phagwara, submits that the allegations regarding illegal possession of panchayat land made against respondents No.7 and 8 were duly examined by the District Revenue Authorities, who carried out demarcation of the area in question. It is further submitted that upon such demarcation, respondents No.7 and 8 were not found to be in unauthorized possession of any panchayat land. Rather, Sh. Gagan s/o Sh. Ganga Ram, Sh. Janak Raj s/o Sh. Mela Ram, and Sh. Parminder Singh s/o Sh. Makhan Singh were found to be in unauthorized possession, against whom eviction proceedings were initiated and eviction orders have also been passed. However, it is stated that appeals filed by the said persons are presently pending consideration before the competent Appellate Authority.

4. In view of the above, learned counsel for the petitioner seeks withdrawal of the instant writ petition.

5. Keeping in view the aforesaid submission made by learned counsel for the petitioner, the present writ petition is dismissed as withdrawn.

6. All pending application(s), if any, shall also stand closed.

16.03.2026*Apurva***(HARSH BUNGER)
JUDGE**

1. Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

2. Whether reportable : Yes/No