



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(219)

RSA-1923-2000 (O&M)**Date of Decision:-13.05.2026****MAJHAIL SINGH**

... Appellant

Versus

BHAG SINGH

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIRINDER AGGARWAL

Present: Mr. Manish Dadwal, Advocate
for the appellant.

None for the respondent.

VIRINDER AGGARWAL, J. (Oral)

1. Learned counsel for the appellant submitted that the appellant is not in contact with them. Despite best efforts, they could not trace the appellant. In the absence of the appellant, appeal cannot proceed further.
2. As such, no useful purpose would be served by keeping the case on the docket, indefinitely.
3. Accordingly, the instant appeal stands dismissed for want of prosecution.
4. As a natural corollary, since the main case stands dismissed for want of prosecution of, all pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of, as no fruitful purpose would be served by keeping them pending.

13th May, 2026
Poonam

(VIRINDER AGGARWAL)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned / speaking?
Whether reportable?

Yes / No
Yes / No